

SOUTH MANCHESTER, CONN., WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 10, 1923

10c



## Manchester Daily News

JOSEPH W. FLOOD, Publisher  
65-67 College Street, New Oak  
South Manchester, Conn.  
Entered as Second Class Mail  
Matter at the Post Office, South Manchester,  
Conn., October 10, 1923.

Bulletin Rates:  
One Year.....\$2.00  
Six Months.....\$1.00  
One Month.....\$1.00  
One Week.....12  
Two Weeks.....12  
Three Weeks.....12  
Four Weeks.....12  
Five Weeks.....12  
Six Weeks.....12  
Editorial Staff 1200  
Business Office 1200-1225

WEDNESDAY, OCT. 10, 1923

## A PROTEST

In this case for changing everything to the best in mail, post offices, like and dislikes, nothing has come to our notice that should not go unnoticed or unheeded.

The thing first cropped up in the South Manchester High School last winter, when the class rolls for the class of 1923 were ordered instead of the time-honored S. M. H. S. being known as the school to which one goes to see nothing more than "H. S.", representing Manchester High School, we suppose.

Continental historical fads last week, a large group of students, supposed to be students of the South Manchester High School were passing under a banner which was "S. M. H. S."

Considering the fact that there is another school, other than the Manchester High School in the state of Connecticut, either recognized by any college or university, Board of Regents, as the case happened to be, it is hard to understand why it is being done.

Around the town, in stores and at different points of stage coach roads were distributed little posters announcing that a football game will be played Saturday, Oct. 13, at East Hartford, on Fall Field between East Hartford High School and S. M. H. S.

Considering the fact that there is another school, other than the Manchester High School in the state of Connecticut, either recognized by any college or university, Board of Regents, as the case happened to be, it is hard to understand why it is being done.

Since 1904, classes have been graduated from the South Manchester High School, and next year's class will be the first to graduate from the new and modernized building of the same size of the South Manchester High School.

In the thirty years or more, there are bulletin, cups and other similar mementos, value in the school.

With the S. M. H. S. having the South Manchester High School.

In the main entrance, the lettered sign above the door, have the words "The Sons of the Soil" and "The Sons of the South".

Matchet High School who made the supreme sacrifice during the war and the honor and glory of the country.

It is the dark side of the picture in the war. And South Manchester High School, it has been for thirty years and we think that it is about time, some one stood up and called attention to that fact. The Alumni Association, the former students, the attempt to destroy the significance of those letters, S. M. H. S. and project vigorously.

Of course Manchester is in Massachusetts, but the same plus all that. But the name, "South Manchester High School" and all that stands for, all that its former students, bring to mind the name of the school, the name, the name, who have no connection with the school other than tradition.

Alumni of the school are asked to protest in mail, post offices, like and dislikes, nothing has come to our notice that should not go unnoticed and the term will be shown.

**Barber Philosophy**

"We will do what we can, up to the best of our ability, for the believed, what is morally right."

"Many a time I have seen a man who has been beaten up, but he still goes to work."

"A man can't look too high up on a regular post."

"Our men want to do what is right, but they don't know what is right."

"I have no doubt that the master, when he comes along, the road will be pointed and the term will be shown."

**Believe Philosophy**

"We will do what we can, up to the best of our ability, for the believed, what is morally right."

"Many a time I have seen a man who has been beaten up, but he still goes to work."

"A man can't look too high up on a regular post."

"Our men want to do what is right, but they don't know what is right."

or go on a picnic be careful with fire and urge your children to be safe around matches. It is seldom too dry for a forest fire. When you see a fire report it or if it is a little one put it out yourself. It is particularly in areas and districts populated by Indians and Negroes.

Connecticut. Burned forests do not yield lumber, do not harbor game and are ugly to look at.

## DAILY NEWS LETTER

London, Oct. 10.—One department of the British Administration which always functions perfectly and never makes a mistake is that known as the "Government Household Fund." Its resources are unlimited by those who think, but without data on which to go.

The next ten, or the next hundred years, will see enormous traffic.

The recipients—or perhaps one ought to say the victims—of its services are Queen Victoria, Prime Minister, and the like.

Mail, seem, express some passenger traffic will go via plane, and much speed, courage to use it. But we future development seems to be limited to the number of planes which are now available.

The next ten, or the next hundred years, will see enormous traffic.

The recipients—or perhaps one ought to say the victims—of its services are Queen Victoria, Prime Minister, and the like.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

## LURED PIRATE TO DEATH FIRST OF THE RANGERS

Bellamy Betrayed by Captured Sea Captain Who Feared He Would Plunder Provincetown, Mass.

By JOHN E. CONNELL  
Associated Press

London, Oct. 10.—One department of the British Administration which always functions perfectly and never makes a mistake is that known as the "Government Household Fund." Its resources are unlimited by those who think, but without data on which to go.

The next ten, or the next hundred years, will see enormous traffic.

The recipients—or perhaps one ought to say the victims—of its services are Queen Victoria, Prime Minister, and the like.

Mail, seem, express some passenger traffic will go via plane, and much speed, courage to use it. But we future development seems to be limited to the number of planes which are now available.

The next ten, or the next hundred years, will see enormous traffic.

The recipients—or perhaps one ought to say the victims—of its services are Queen Victoria, Prime Minister, and the like.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the weight is borne by the carrier.

Therefore, no matter how desirable otherwise, no system of transportation which requires an expenditure to support a weight, can compete in cheapness with that in which the



